Application No. 10/649,330

Paper Dated October 19, 2004

In Reply to USPTO Correspondence of July 19, 2004

Attorney Docket No. 0388-031646

REMARKS

In the specification, the paragraphs beginning at page 8, line 6 and at page 12,

line 3 have been amended to incorporate minor editorial clarifications.

Claims 1-10 were pending in this application. Claims 4 and 10 are cancelled.

Claims 1, 5, and 6 are amended. New claims 11-18 are added. No new subject matter is

believed to have been added by these amendments. Therefore, claims 1-3, 5-9, and 11-18

remain in this application.

The Examiner has acknowledged that claims 8 and 9 are allowed.

The Examiner has also acknowledged that claims 4-6 are directed to allowable

subject matter. Accordingly, claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the subject matter of

claim 4, and claim 4 has been cancelled. Claims 5 and 6 depend from amended claim 1.

New claims 11-18 have been added by this Amendment. Claim 11 is

independent and claims 12-18 depend therefrom. No new matter was added. Support for

new claims 11-18 can be found in the specification and drawings as originally filed.

New independent claim 11 is directed to a mid-mount mower where a front

cross frame 37 that suspends front wheels is maintained in a substantially parallel posture

relative to the ground during an extension/contraction of a jack body. In the Samejima

patent, in contrast, during the extension/contraction of a jack body, a front cross frame 14 that

suspends front wheels becomes angled relative to the ground. Furthermore, in new claim 11,

one end of the jack body contacts the ground during the extension/contraction of the jack

body. The Samejima patent does not include this feature.

These additional claimed features are not addressed in the prior art. Therefore,

claims 11-18 are believed to be allowable over the prior art of record. Allowance of new

claims 11-18 is respectfully requested.

Page 8 of 9

Application No. 10/649,330 Paper Dated October 19, 2004 In Reply to USPTO Correspondence of July 19, 2004 Attorney Docket No. 0388-031646

35 U.S.C. § 102 Rejections

Claims 1-3, 7, and 10 stand rejected under 35 USC § 102(b) for anticipation by Japanese Patent Publication No. 2000-342040 to Samejima et al. Claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the indicated allowable subject matter of claim 4. Claims 2, 3, and 7 depend from amended independent claim 1. Claim 10 has been cancelled. In view of the above amendments, the Applicants respectfully submit that these rejections are now moot and request withdrawal of these rejections.

CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing amendments and remarks, reconsideration of the rejections and allowance of pending claims 1-3, 5-9, and 11-18 are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

WEBB ZIESENHEIM LOGSDON ORKIN & HANSON, P.C.

Jessica M. Schroth

Registration No. 47,102

Attorney for Applicants

700 Koppers Building

436 Seventh Avenue

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219-1818

Telephone: 412-471-8815

Facsimile: 412-471-4094

E-mail: webblaw@webblaw.com